

Scientific Performance of the Rubin LSST Data Products

LEANNE P. GUY¹

¹*Rubin Observatory Project Office, 950 N. Cherry Ave., Tucson, AZ 85719, USA*

ABSTRACT

As the Commissioning Execution Plan (LSE-390) says, "The project team shall deliver all reports documenting the as-built hardware and software including: drawings, source code, modifications, compliance exceptions, and recommendations for improvement." As a first step towards the delivery of documents that will describe the system at the end of construction, we are assembling teams for producing of the order 40 papers that eventually will be submitted to relevant professional journals. The immediate goal is to accomplish all the writing that can be done without data analysis before the data taking begins, and the team becomes much more busy and stressed.

This document provides the template for these papers.

Keywords: Astrophysics - Instrumentation and Methods for Astrophysics — methods: data analysis — methods: miscellaneous

1. INTRODUCTION

Eventually, please replace all of the remaining text with your paper text.

The LSST Construction Project team needs to document the as-built hardware and software (see LSE-79 and LSE-390 for details). Although this activity will likely continue well into the operations phase, the majority of anticipated documents will be necessary to enable efficient and robust early science with the LSST facility and thus must be available, at least in a draft form, by the first data release.

As a first step, we are now assembling teams that will be in charge of delivering these documents. An initial paper list collated by subsystem leaders includes about 40 papers that will be submitted to relevant professional journals. Therefore, this deliverable represents a major undertaking and we need to start early. In addition, the commissioning period will be shorter than anticipated due to various delays in construction and thus the time to complete these papers will be shorter, too. Although most of these papers cannot be finished before the end of construction because they will require analysis of LSST commissioning data, we can significantly mitigate the risk that they will never be finished by starting early. The early start will also help mitigate another source of stress for the team during the busy commissioning phase.

2. INITIAL PLAN

The subsystem leaders have assembled an initial list of papers, listed in Appendix. It is likely that this list will evolve with time. Each paper has an editor assigned to it. Each editor is meant to be a team leader who will be initially responsible for the completion of the assigned paper (or perhaps until someone else from the team assumes this leadership role). The editor is not necessarily the team member who will do most of the required work, or who will eventually become the first author. Both issues will be handled by on an individual team basis.

2.1. *The timeline*

We would like to have all the sections that do not depend on commissioning data written and reviewed by February 2021. If we accomplish this goal, we will both have easier time completing these papers, and the team will be less stressed during the commissioning phase.

Our initial timeline is as follows (the further into the future, the less certain it is):

1. Subsystem leads assemble the initial list of papers (DONE)
2. Setup latex templates and email exploders (lsst-constrpapers) (DONE)
3. Schedule the first telecon to discuss task, overall plan and timeline (Oct 2019).

4. Delivery of paper outlines and the second telecon (Jan 2020). Each paper outline should at least contain the list of all sections, their lead authors, and a few sentences about the section scope. Over-achievers can add a list of figures etc. for extra credit.
5. First rough draft of sections that can be written without having the LSST commissioning data and the third telecon (June 2020). These drafts should at least include subsection structure, lists of planned tables, figures, rough text, and identification of any impediments to make the Oct. deadline for drafts ready for review (so that we can replan if need be).
6. Sections that can be written without having the data ready for an internal project review and the fourth telecon (Nov 2020).
7. Reviews available and the fifth telecon (Feb 2021)
8. Implementation of the reviewers' comments (from Feb 2021 until first light)
9. Final drafts, including sections that depend on LSST data, available for review and the sixth telecon (Aug 2022)
10. Implementation of the reviewers' comments (from Aug 2021 until the start of operations, planned for Oct 3, 2022). Proceeding with submissions, details TBD...

3. SOME TECHNICALITIES: AUTHOR LIST AND STANDARD LSST REFERENCES

Thank you Tim Jenness and Wil O'Mullane for helping with templates!

3.1. *The LSST LaTeX Classes*

Please see the installation instructions¹ for `lsst-texmf`. Once you have it installed, you should be able to compile your paper using `make`.

3.2. *How to handle author list?*

Authors come from the `authors.yaml` file – find the author ids in the `lsst-texmf/etc/authordb.yaml` - use `db2authors` to get the authors and institutes from the db.

XXX Wil, the above is unclear: need more detail about how to use `db2authors`, what is its output and what to do with it...

3.3. *How to handle LSST standard references?*

The papers should cite standard LSST references², where appropriate. For the usage, please see below. These examples all use the ADS handle, unless they are project docs then they use the project handle like LSE-17.

All are on the `lsst-texmf` which you can get from <http://lsst-texmf.lsst.io>

3.3.1. *LSST System and Science*

The LSST system (brief overview of telescope, camera and data management subsystems), science drivers and science forecasts are described in:

- LSST Science Requirements Document: [Ivezić & The LSST Science Collaboration \(2018\)](#).
- LSST overview paper: [Ivezić et al. \(2019\)](#).
- LSST Science Book: [Abell et al. \(2009\)](#).

3.3.2. *Simulations*

The LSST simulations are described in a series of papers. Use of the LSST simulations should cite the LSST simulations overview paper [Connolly et al. \(2014\)](#) and the specific simulation tools used:

- LSST Catalogs (CatSim): [Connolly et al. \(2014\)](#)
- Feature-Based Scheduler: [Naghib et al. \(2019\)](#)
- Operations Simulator (OpSim): Scheduler [Delgado & Reuter \(2016\)](#), SOCS [Reuter et al. \(2016\)](#)
- Metrics Analysis Framework (MAF): [Jones et al. \(2014\)](#)
- Image simulations (Phosim): [Peterson et al. \(2015\)](#)
- Sky brightness model: [Yoachim et al. \(2016\)](#)
- LSST Performance for NEO (or moving object) discovery: [Jones et al. \(2018\)](#)

3.3.3. *Data Management*

LSST data management system and the data products are described in:

- The LSST Data Management System: [Jurić et al. \(2017\)](#)
- Data Products Definition Document: [Jurić et al. \(2023\)](#)

¹ <https://lsst-texmf.lsst.io/install.html>

² See <https://github.com/lsst-pst/LSSTReferences>

3.3.4. Camera

- Design and development of the LSST camera: [Kahn et al. \(2010\)](#)

3.3.5. Telescope and Site

- Telescope and site overview and status in 2014: [Gressler et al. \(2014\)](#)

3.3.6. System Engineering

- LSST systems engineering: [Claver et al. \(2014\)](#)
- System verification and validation: [Selvy et al. \(2014\)](#)

This material is based upon work supported in part by the National Science Foundation through Cooperative Agreement AST-1258333 and Cooperative Support Agreement AST-1202910 managed by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy (AURA), and the Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-76SF00515 with the SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory managed by Stanford University. Additional Rubin Observatory funding comes from private donations, grants to universities, and in-kind support from LSSTC Institutional Members.

Facilities: Rubin (LSSTCam), RubinAux (LATISS)

Software: Rubin Science Platform ([Dubois-Felsmann et al. 2019](#)), LSST Science Pipelines (?), Qserv ([Wang et al. 2011](#))

REFERENCES

- Abell, P. A., Allison, J., Anderson, S. F., et al. 2009. <https://arxiv.org/abs/0912.0201>
- Claver, C. F., Selvy, B. M., Angeli, G., et al. 2014, in Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, Vol. 9150, Modeling, Systems Engineering, and Project Management for Astronomy VI, ed. G. Z. Angeli & P. Dierickx, 0
- Connolly, A. J., Angeli, G. Z., Chandrasekharan, S., et al. 2014, in Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, Vol. 9150, Modeling, Systems Engineering, and Project Management for Astronomy VI, ed. G. Z. Angeli & P. Dierickx, 14
- Delgado, F., & Reuter, M. A. 2016, in Proc. SPIE, Vol. 9910, Observatory Operations: Strategies, Processes, and Systems VI, 991013
- Dubois-Felsmann, G., Economou, F., Lim, K.-T., et al. 2019, Science Platform Design, Vera C. Rubin Observatory. <https://ldm-542.lsst.io/>
- Gressler, W., DeVries, J., Hileman, E., et al. 2014, in Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, Vol. 9145, Ground-based and Airborne Telescopes V, ed. L. M. Stepp, R. Gilmozzi, & H. J. Hall, 1
- Ivezić, Ž., & The LSST Science Collaboration. 2018, LSST Science Requirements Document, Vera C. Rubin Observatory. <https://ls.st/LPM-17>
- Ivezić, Ž., Kahn, S. M., Tyson, J. A., et al. 2019, ApJ, 873, 111, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab042c](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab042c)
- Jones, R. L., Yoachim, P., Chandrasekharan, S., et al. 2014, in Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, Vol. 9149, Observatory Operations: Strategies, Processes, and Systems V, ed. A. B. Peck, C. R. Benn, & R. L. Seaman, 0
- Jones, R. L., Slater, C. T., Moeyens, J., et al. 2018, Icarus, 303, 181, doi: [10.1016/j.icarus.2017.11.033](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2017.11.033)
- Jurić, M., Kantor, J., Lim, K. T., et al. 2017, in Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, Vol. 512, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XXV, ed. N. P. F. Lorente, K. Shortridge, & R. Wayth, 279
- Jurić, M., Axelrod, T., Becker, A., et al. 2023, Data Products Definition Document, Vera C. Rubin Observatory. <https://lse-163.lsst.io/>
- Kahn, S. M., Kurita, N., Gilmore, K., et al. 2010, in Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, Vol. 7735, Ground-based and Airborne Instrumentation for Astronomy III, ed. I. S. McLean, S. K. Ramsay, & H. Takami, 0
- Naghieb, E., Yoachim, P., Vanderbei, R. J., Connolly, A. J., & Jones, R. L. 2019, AJ, 157, 151, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/aafece](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aafece)
- Peterson, J. R., Jernigan, J. G., Kahn, S. M., et al. 2015, ApJS, 218, 14, doi: [10.1088/0067-0049/218/1/14](https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/218/1/14)
- Reuter, M. A., Cook, K. H., Delgado, F., Petry, C. E., & Ridgway, S. T. 2016, in Proc. SPIE, Vol. 9911, Modeling, Systems Engineering, and Project Management for Astronomy VI, 991125

Selvy, B. M., Claver, C., & Angeli, G. 2014, in Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, Vol. 9150, Modeling, Systems Engineering, and Project Management for Astronomy VI, ed. G. Z. Angeli & P. Dierickx, 0

Wang, D. L., Monkewitz, S. M., Lim, K.-T., & Becla, J. 2011, in State of the Practice Reports, SC '11 (New York, NY, USA: ACM), 12:1–12:11

Yoachim, P., Coughlin, M., Angeli, G. Z., et al. 2016, in Proc. SPIE, Vol. 9910, Observatory Operations: Strategies, Processes, and Systems VI, 99101A